



राष्ट्रीय परियोजना कार्यान्वयन एकक

(तकनीकी शिक्षा में विश्व बैंक सहायक परियोजना के कार्यान्वयन के लिए भारत सरकार, मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय का एकक)

National Project Implementation Unit

(A UNIT OF MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF WORLD BANK ASSISTED PROJECTS IN TECHNICAL EDUCATION)

AC/TEQIP-II/PA/2014-15

846

Date 25th Sep 2014

To,
Principal
Government Engineering College
Vidyanagar, Karad, Maharashtra

Subject: TEQIP-II: Performance Audit Report..reg..

Dear Sir,

As you are aware, the Performance Audit Reports of institutions participating in TEQIP-II are being reviewed to assess progress made by all project institutions to achieve academic excellence.

2. In this respect, the performance audit report of your institute was reviewed. The reviewed report along with the comments and suggestions are being sent to you for your perusal (Annex-1).
3. You are requested to make performance audit report published/uploaded on your institute's website.

With regards,

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-

(A U Digraskar)
Central Project Advisor

Encl.: Annex-1

Copy to:

- (i) ✓ TEQIP Coordinator,
Government Engineering College
Vidyanagar, Karad, Maharashtra
- (ii) TEQIP Coordinator
State Project Facilitation Unit (SPFU), Directorate of Technical Education,
Elphinstone Technical High School Campus, 3 Mahapalika Marg
Mumbai – 400 001, Maharashtra
- (iii) Prof. J. Srihari Rao
Former Professor & Dean
NIT Warangal, Former Principal, Godavri Institute of Engg. & Technology
Chetanya Knowledge City, Apartment 101, R K Plaza, Sajjapuram, West Godavari
Tanuku-534211 (AP)
- (iv) Prof. S.V. Joshi
Govt. College of Engineering, Avasari Khurd, Taluka Ambegaon, Pune

A U Digraskar

(A U Digraskar)
Central Project Advisor

Review of Performance Audit Report

Name of the Institution	:	Govt College of Engg, Karad	Sub-component	:	
Name of Performance Auditor of the institution	:	Dr.J Srihari rao			
Name of Data Auditor of the institution	:	Dr. S. V. Joshi			
Date of completion of Review	:	2 June 2014			
CRITERIA	Rating (A, B, or C)	Comments to assist NPIU in handling the report. ¹			
i. Completeness		B, Remarks column on page 1 not filled.			
ii. Consistency and relevance		B, under Governance of Institutions, evidences with date of BoG Meetings can be provided.			
iii. Details and specificity		A			
iv. Meticulousness		B, no Data Audit Report is attached.			
v. Feedback clarity		A			
Overall rating for the report		A			

¹The Evaluators should indicate changes needed to be made to the report before it can be sent back to the institution. For good reports (rated 'A'), these can be sent to the institution formally as a completed report. For average reports (rated 'B'), the evaluators should provide guidance on what needs to be done: such as providing more evidence in particular sections, clarifying some points, or some quick editing of the report. For poor reports (rated 'C'), the performance auditor may need to substantially revise the report, or, if too much time has passed, conduct the audit visit again and write the report.